1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
10	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
11)	
12	THERESA A. NYE,))) NO	
13	Plaintiff,) NO.	
14	V.) COMPLAINT	
15	TACOMA CASINO, LLC, a Washington	(JURY DEMAND)	
16	limited liability company; CHIPS CASINO, LLC, a Washington limited liability company;))	
17	MICHELS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Washington limited liability company;))	
18	EVERGREEN GAMING, LLC, a Washington		
19	limited liability company; and JOHN and JANE DOES 1– 10,))	
20	Defendants.))	
21)	
22	Plaintiff Theresa A. Nye, by and through her attorney, hereby alleges as follows:		
23	PA	ARTIES	
24	1. Plaintiff Theresa A. Nye resides i		
25	1. Framuit Theresa A. Tvyc resides i	in Fronce County, washington.	
26			
27			
28			

1 issued a cause determination in Ms. Nye's favor. Ms. Nye subsequently received a Notice of Right to 2 Sue and brought this action within ninety days of her receipt of such notice. 3 **FACTS** 4 9. Plaintiff Theresa A. Nye is a female. 5 Ms. Nye began working at Chips Casino in December 2003. She performed her work 10. 6 7 in at least a satisfactory manner and was considered by management to be a "good employee". 8 During all relevant times, she was employed as a bartender and a waitress. 9 11. In December 2009, Ms. Nye and other female employees were commanded by 10 defendants, in writing, as follows: "If you were assigned something to wear, you MUST wear that." 11 Defendants assigned Ms. Nye and other women skimpy and revealing costumes purchased by 12 13 defendants, advertised by Frederick's of Hollywood as "sexy costumes and novelty". Defendants 14 required that costumes be worn throughout the holiday season. 15 12. Defendants did not require males to wear skimpy and revealing costumes. 16 13. After her first shift wearing defendants' costume, Ms. Nye was subjected to 17 18 demeaning and degrading sexual comments and innuendo by customers. The comments were 19 extreme, unwanted and pervasive and had the effect of creating a working environment that was 20 hostile and offensive. The harassment negatively altered the terms and conditions under which Ms. 21 Nye was forced to work. 22 14. After her shift, Ms. Nye's reported the sexual harassment to management and refused 23 24 to wear the costume again. Management failed to take prompt and effective steps to address Ms. 25 Nye's (and other female employees') concerns about the costumes and failed to remedy the sexual 26 harassment by continuing to require that she and other female employees wear the skimpy costumes. 27 28

- 15. Following Ms. Nye's reports to her supervisor and to Human Resources, the harassment towards her continued and increased. When Ms. Nye told her supervisor about the harassment, her supervisor said he wanted nothing to do with the situation and didn't want to jeopardize his job. Defendants' human resources manager told Ms. Nye she was sorry she could not change the way Ms. Nye's body looked and suggested that Ms. Nye "do dishes".
- 16. Because of her reports to management, Ms. Nye was also subjected to additional retaliation, including taking her off the schedule and not responding to her calls. Ms. Nye repeatedly called, texted, and left phone messages in an on-going effort to be placed back on the schedule. Her efforts were in vain. Ms. Nye was told to stop calling and that defendants would contact her. Defendants did not contact her after that.
- 17. Because Ms. Nye refused to wear defendants' skimpy costume, and because she reported sexual harassment by customers to management, Ms. Nye was not placed back on the schedule. Despite her many attempts to address the matter with management, she was fired and/or constructively discharged.
- 18. The conduct complained of herein caused Ms. Nye to suffer damages in the form of severe emotional distress, physical suffering, and economic loss, including loss of wages and other benefits of employment.
- 19. Ms. Nye was terminated by one or more as yet unknown management personnel whose names and whereabouts will be adduced in discovery.

CLAIMS AGAINST TACOMA CASINO/CHIPS CASINO

20. Plaintiff Nye repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

1	21.	Defendant Chips Casino is liable to Ms. Nye for violating Title VII of the Civil Rights	
2	Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., as amended.		
3 4	22.	Defendant Chips Casino is liable to Ms. Nye for violating RCW 49.60 et seq.,	
5	including RCW 49.60.180 and RCW 49.60.210.		
6	23.	Defendant Chips Casino is liable to Ms. Nye for wrongful discharge under	
7	Washington law pursuant to <i>Thompson v. St. Regis Paper Co.</i> , 102 Wash. 2d 219, 685 P.2d 1081		
8	(1984), and other legal authority.		
9 10	CLAIMS AGAINST MICHELS DEVELOPMENT, LLC		
11	24.	Plaintiff Nye repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as if	
12	fully set forth herein.		
13	25.	Defendant Michels is liable to Ms. Nye for violating Title VII of the Civil Rights Act	
14	of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., as amended.		
15	26.	Defendant Michels is liable to Ms. Nye for violating RCW 49.60 et seq., including	
16 17	RCW 49.60.180 and RCW 49.60.210.		
18	27.	Defendant Michels is liable to Ms. Nye for wrongful discharge under Washington law	
19	pursuant to <i>Thompson v. St. Regis Paper Co.</i> , 102 Wash. 2d 219, 685 P. 2d 1081 (1984), and other		
20			
21	legal authority.		
22		CLAIMS AGAINST EVERGREEN GAMING	
23	28.	Plaintiff Nye repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as if	
24	fully set forth herein.		
25	29.	Defendant Evergreen is liable to Ms. Nye for violating Title VII of the Civil Rights	
26	Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., as amended.		
27			
28		LAW OFFICER OF LOAD WEEK DO NO	

1	30.	Defendant Evergreen is liable to Ms. Nye for violating RCW 49.60 et seq., including		
2	RCW 49.60.180 and RCW 49.60.210.			
3	31.	Defendant Evergreen is liable to Ms. Nye for wrongful discharge under Washington		
5	law pursuant	to Thompson v. St. Regis Paper Co., 102 Wash. 2d 219, 685 P.2d 1081 (1984), and		
6	other legal authority.			
7		JURY DEMAND		
8	Plaintiff Theresa Nye demands a trial by jury.			
9				
10		PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
11	WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays that the Court award her:			
12	1.	Economic damages, including but not limited to past and future wage loss, in an		
13	amount to be proven at trial;			
14	2.	Damages for emotional distress in an amount to be proven at trial;		
15	3.	Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment interest; and		
16				
17	4.	Any such other relief that this Court deems just and equitable under the circumstances		
18	of this case.			
19	DATED this <u>26th</u> day of August, 2013.			
20				
21 22		LAW OFFICES OF J. GARY NECE, PS, INC.		
23		_/s/ J. Gary Nece		
23 24		J. Gary Nece, WSBA # 15848		
25		705 Second Avenue, Ste 910 Seattle, WA 98104		
26		(206) 621-0619		
27				
28				
		TAMEO DE L'OLD VIOLE		